UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Office States of Afficient	ONDER OF DETERMINION FEMALE
	v. Elmer Eneas Ordonez-Ordonez	Case No. 1:10-cr-00319-RHB
	Defendant	
that the	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reformed defendant be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Fin	dings of Fact
(1		18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of at would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is of	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	n convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv	olves:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm of a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon § 2250
(2	-	nile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3	 A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1). 	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4	 Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presum person or the community. I further find that defendant 	ption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative	Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years o Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et se under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2		blished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions d the safety of the community.
,		Findings (B)
) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe	
(2	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	
		he Reasons for Detention
evidenc	te a preponderance of the evidence that:	e detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
	endant waived his detention hearing, electing not to cont	
	endant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be re	
J. Det	endant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to	the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	November 1, 2010	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	